UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.             | FILING DATE                    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/750,990                  | 12/28/2000 Jorn Borch Soe      |                      | 674509-2028         | 9458             |
|                             | 90 07/23/2008<br>WRENCE & HAUG |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
| 745 FIFTH AV<br>NEW YORK, N | ENUE- 10TH FL.                 |                      | WONG, LESLIE A      |                  |
| NEW TORK, P                 | N1 10151                       |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                             |                                |                      | 1794                |                  |
|                             |                                |                      |                     |                  |
|                             |                                |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                             |                                |                      | 07/23/2008          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Office Action Summary  |  | Applic   | ation No.                                  | Applicant(s)   | Applicant(s)    |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|-----------------|--|--|
|  |  | 09/750   | ),990                                      | SOE, JORN BO   | SOE, JORN BORCH |  |  |
|  |  | Exami  | ner  | Art Unit   |                 |  |  |
|  |  | Leslie \   | Vong                                       | 1794   |                 |  |  |
| The MAIL<br>Period for Reply   | ING DATE of this commu   | nication appears on  | the cover sheet v                          | vith the correspondence a  | address         |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |  |  |  |  |                 |  |  |
| Status   |  |  |  |  |                 |  |  |
| 2a)⊠ This action 3)□ Since this  | ve to communication(s) file is <b>FINAL</b> .  application is in condition accordance with the pract   | 2b)∏ This action in for allowance exce                                 | s non-final.<br>ept for formal ma          | tters, prosecution as to t   | he merits is    |  |  |
| Disposition of Clai  | ms   |  |  |  |                 |  |  |
| 4a) Of the 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8</u> 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> 7)□ Claim(s) _ 8)□ Claim(s) _   | 20-106 is/are pending in the above claim(s) is/a above claim(s) is/a above claim(s) is/a above claim(s) is/are allowed.  is/are rejected is/are objected to are subject to restri  | are withdrawn from   |  |  |                 |  |  |
| Application Papers   | <b>i</b>   |  |  |  |                 |  |  |
| 10) The drawir Applicant n Replaceme   | cation is objected to by the cation is objected to by the cation is and indicate that any objected the cation is objected to be cation. | e: a) accepted or<br>ection to the drawing(<br>g the correction is rec | s) be held in abeya<br>uired if the drawin | ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  | CFR 1.121(d).   |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U  | .S.C. § 119  |  |  |  |                 |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>   |  |  |  |  |                 |  |  |
| 2) D Notice of Draftsper   | ces Cited (PTO-892)<br>rson's Patent Drawing Review (<br>sure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Date   |  | Paper No                                   | Summary (PTO-413)<br>o(s)/Mail Date<br>Informal Patent Application<br> |                 |  |  |

Art Unit: 1794

Claims 80-105 are allowed.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 106 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Van Den Ouweland et al (US PAT 5,695,802).

Van Den Ouweland et al teach the hydrolysis of triglycerides in fat-containing materials such as butter and oil, with water and a lipase from various microbes, including *Candida, Rhizopus, Mucor, Penicillium, Aspergillus, Pseudomonas flourescens* (example 4), and *Mucor*. Van Den Ouweland et al teach application to a variety of foodstuffs, such as dairy products including ice cream (column 1, lines 4-21 and Examples 16 and 19). In the example 3, the butter was "heated for 15 minutes at 90° C to stop the hydrolysis". The reaction produced monoglycerides (col. 1), and further, "the hydrolysate thus obtained can be used as such, or it can be emulsified to form a homogeneous paste" (col. 4, lines 57-58). As the method steps are the same as

those instantly claimed, and the claim limitations encompass the teachings of the reference, it would be expected that the same enzymatic reaction(s) would inherently and/or obviously yield "an emulsifier" compound, as well as a by-product secondary compound(s).

Page 3

Claim 106 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Olesen et al (WO 94/040035).

Olesen et al teach the addition of a lipase to dough products to be baked. The lipase may originate from various microbial sources, including Rhizomucor (Mucor), Candida and Aspergillus species. The lipase is added and maintained such that it is not inactivated until the dough is baked, thus allowing the enzyme to provide the desired effect. At pages 6 and 10, for example, it is clearly stated that the "lipase forms an 'in situ' emulsifier comprising mono- and diglycerides in dough." The dough or dough improver ingredients may comprise added fat (pg. 14), and also comprise further components, including sugars, sugar alcohols, other polyols, lactic acid or other organic acids (each may be added with the enzyme preparation, as stated at pg. 10), ascorbic acid, milk powder, salt and amino acids (pg. 11). Further, the dough components such as wheat flour/starch naturally contain several of these components, including proteins, amino acids, sugars, dextrins, etc., as well as the first component of a fatty acid ester. As the method steps are the same as those instantly claimed, and the claim limitations encompass the teachings of the reference, it would be expected that the same

enzymatic reaction(s) would inherently and/or obviously yield "an emulsifier" compound, as well as a by-product secondary compound(s).

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie Wong whose telephone number is 571-272-1411. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/750,990 Page 5

Art Unit: 1794

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Leslie Wong/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794

LAW July 18, 2008